

① fix an enumeration x_0, x_1, \dots of W
 $x_i \in x_j \Rightarrow i \leq j$

A ∇ -filtration is
 $0 = B^0 \subseteq B^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq B^k = B$
 s.t. $B^{i+1}/B^i \cong R_{x_i} \otimes h'_{x_i}$
 $h'_{x_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

$\otimes h'_{x_i}(B) = h'_{x_i}$

$Ch_{\nabla}(B) = \sum_{x \in W} v^{l(x)} \overline{h'_{x_i}(B)} \delta_x$
 δ_x standard basis

Remark
 $Ch_{\Delta}(B \otimes B') = Ch_{\Delta}(B) + Ch_{\Delta}(B')$
 $Ch_{\nabla}(B \otimes B') = Ch_{\nabla}(B) + Ch_{\nabla}(B')$
 $Ch_{\Delta}(B(1)) = v Ch_{\Delta}(B)$
 $Ch_{\nabla}(B(1)) = v^{-1} Ch_{\nabla}(B)$

(Soergel) Any Soergel bimod admits a unique ∇ -filtration & is independent of the filtration enumeration

$\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}] \simeq [SBim]_{\otimes}$
 $v \mapsto B(1)$

Then Ch_{Δ} is linear only $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$

Localization

Let \mathbb{Q} : field of fractions for R
 $B_S \otimes_R \mathbb{Q} = R \otimes_{R^S} R \otimes_R \mathbb{Q} = R \otimes_{R^S} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^S} \mathbb{Q}$
 is surjective

Lemma

$BS(W) \otimes_R \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^S} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^t} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^u} \dots \otimes \mathbb{Q}$
 $(B_S \otimes B_t \otimes \dots \otimes B_u)$ as (R, \mathbb{Q}) -bimod

Let $BSBim_{\mathbb{Q}}$: smallest full subcat of \mathbb{Q} -bimod containing all tensor products of $B_S \otimes \mathbb{Q} = B_S \otimes_R \mathbb{Q}$

$\mathcal{S}Bim_{\mathbb{Q}}$: containing all direct sums of direct summands of obj in $BSBim_{\mathbb{Q}}$

Std $Bim_{\mathbb{Q}}$: containing all direct sums of $\mathbb{Q}_x \forall x \in W$

Loc: $BSBim \rightarrow \mathcal{S}BSBim_{\mathbb{Q}}$
 $- \otimes_R \mathbb{Q}$ a monoidal functor

Lemma

$B_S \otimes_R \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as \mathbb{Q} -bimod.

Proof:

e.g.

$0 \rightarrow R(-1) \rightarrow B_S \rightarrow R_S(1) \rightarrow 0$

$Ch_{\nabla}(B_S) = v^0 \cdot v^{-1} \cdot \delta_{id} + v^{-1} \cdot v \cdot \delta_S$
 $= v + \delta_S = b_S = Ch_{\Delta}(B_S)$

Remark. $Ch_{\nabla}(B_x) = Ch_{\Delta}(B_x) \forall x \in W$

cont) Proof:

\mathbb{Q} : flat \mathbb{Q} -mod
 $- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is exact

$0 \rightarrow R_S \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B_S \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\mu} R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$
 $\downarrow \frac{1}{\alpha_S} \circ \mu \quad \downarrow \mu \quad \downarrow \mu$
 $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$
 $\downarrow \mu \quad \downarrow \mu$
 $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$0 \rightarrow R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B_S \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\mu_S} R_S \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$
 $\downarrow \mu \quad \downarrow \mu_S$
 $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$
 $(1 \mapsto \zeta_S)$

$1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha_S) \mapsto \frac{2\alpha_S}{2\alpha_S} = 1$

$(\mathbb{Q}_S \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}_t \otimes \mathbb{Q})$

$\mathbb{Q}_x \otimes \mathbb{Q}_y \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{xy}$

$$\mathcal{S}Bim_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \text{Std} Bim_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$[\text{Std} Bim_{\mathbb{Q}}]_{\oplus} \cong \mathbb{Z}[w]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}Bim & \xrightarrow{ch} & H \\ \text{Loc} \downarrow & & \downarrow v=1 \\ \mathcal{S}Bim_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[w] \end{array}$$

(Seorgel's Cat Th.)

a) $\exists \mathbb{Z}\langle v, v^{-1} \rangle$ -alg homomorphism

$$c: H \rightarrow [\mathcal{SBim}]_{\oplus}$$

$$b_s \mapsto [B_s]$$

b) \exists 1-1 correspondence

$$w \mapsto \{ \text{indecomposable obj in } \mathcal{SBim} \} / \simeq, (i)$$

$$w \mapsto B_w$$

where B_w is uniquely characterized as the indecomposable summand of $BS(w)$. Moreover, all other summands in $BS(w)$ are various shifts of B_x for some $x < w$

c) \exists inverse map to c

$$ch = ch_{\Delta}: [\mathcal{SBim}]_{\oplus} \rightarrow H$$

Hence both are isomorphism

Lemma can place "Moreover" by B_w has $R_w(-l(w))$ in its subquotients, and all other subquotients are shifts of R_x for $x < w$.

Proof. Deodhar's formula

$$b_w = \sum_{e \leq w} v^{\text{defect}(e)} \delta_{\underline{w}^e}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{b_s b_t} \dots b_u &= b_s b_t \dots b_u \\ &= ch(\text{BS}(w)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{defect}(w) = 0 \quad \text{uo-DO}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{ch(BS(w))} &= \sum_{x \leq w} v^{l(x)} h_x(BS(w)) \delta_x \\ ch(BS(w)) &= \sum_{x \leq w} v^{l(x)} h_x(BS(w)) \delta_x \end{aligned}$$

$$1 = v^{l(w)} h_x(BS(w))$$

$$h_x(BS(w)) = v^{-l(w)}$$

$\varphi \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ R -bim

$$(r \cdot \varphi \cdot s)(m) = r \cdot \varphi(m) \cdot s$$

$$\text{Hom}^i(M, N) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(M, N(i)) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(M(-i), N)$$

$$\text{grk Hom}^i(M, N) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v^i \text{rank Hom}(M, N(i))$$

(Soergel's Cat. Th)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grk Hom}(B, B') &= (\text{ch}(B), \text{ch}(B')) \\ &\stackrel{\cong}{=} \bullet \varepsilon(\omega(\text{ch}(B)) \cdot \text{ch}(B')) \end{aligned}$$

Remark: works w/ Sesquilinearity

$$\text{grk Hom}(B(i), B') = v^i \bullet \text{grk Hom}(B, B')$$

(Soergel's conjecture)

$$b_x \mapsto [B_x] \quad \forall x \in W$$

Moreover, $h_x(B_y) = p_{x,y}$ the KL polynomials

$$(\bullet b_{xy} = \sum p_{x,y} \delta_{\bullet x})$$

Eg Prove Soergel's Th/conj for A_2